DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MUN 1 3 1994

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Docket	No.	94-34
	Docket	Docket No.

COMMENTS

U S WEST Communications, Inc. ("U S WEST"), through counsel, and pursuant to the Federal Communications Commission's ("Commission" or "FCC") Notice of Inquiry in the above-captioned docket, hereby files its comments.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

In the 1992 Cable Act, Congress found that "females and minorities are not employed in significant numbers in positions of management authority in the cable and broadcast television industries" and adopted statutory provisions relating to equal employment opportunity ("EEO"). Congress extended these EEO requirements to cable operators and defined "cable operators," for EEO purposes, to include any "multichannel video programming

In the Natter of Implementation of Commission's Equal Employment Opportunity Rules, MM Docket No. 94-34, Notice of Inquiry, FCC 94-103, rel. Apr. 21, 1994 ("NOI").

²Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Pub. L. No. 102-385, 106 Stat. 1460, 1498 (1992) § 22(a)(1) ("1992 Cable Act" or "Act"); H.R. Rep. No. 102-628, 102d Cong., 2d Sess. (1992), at 41 ("1992 House Report").

³47 USC § 554.

distributor. " Section 522(12) of the Act defines a multichannel video programming distributor as:

a person such as, but not limited to, a cable operator, a multichannel multipoint distribution service, a direct broadcast satellite service, or a television receive-only satellite program distributor, who makes available for purchase, by subscribers or customers, multiple channels of video programming.

In its Order implementing the EEO provisions of the Act, 6 the Commission adopted rules limiting the scope of these provisions to "those entities which have control over more than one channel of video programming provided directly to the public." Thus, "[m]ultichannel video programming distributors do not include any entity which lacks control over the video programming distributed." Since local exchange carrier ("LEC") video dialtone (or "VDT") service providers are not permitted either to control the provision of video programming provided over their VDT networks 10 or to provide video programming

⁴47 USC § 554(h)(1).

⁵47 USC § 522(12).

In the Matter of Implementation of Section 22 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Report and Order, 8 FCC Rcd. 5389 (1993) ("Order").

⁷Id. at 5398 ¶ 45.

⁸Id. at 5402, Appendix B (to be codified at 47 CFR
§ 76.71(a)).

⁹The Cable Act defines "video programming" as "programming provided by, or generally considered comparable to programming provided by, a television broadcast station." 47 USC § 522(19).

¹⁰47 CFR § 63.54(d).

directly to the public, 11 LEC VDT providers are not subject to the Cable Act's EEO provisions. 12

The 1992 Cable Act also directed the Commission to submit a report to Congress within two years on the effect and operation of the Act's EEO provisions. The Commission's NOI represents its initial step in fulfilling this requirement. The NOI deals almost exclusively with the EEO requirements for the broadcast and cable television industries. However, in closing, the Commission asks whether its EEO policies should be expanded to include common carrier technologies (e.g., video dialtone) that compete with broadcast and cable services. In the comments which follow, U S WEST addresses this issue.

¹¹47 USC § 533(b)(1), (2).

¹²However, as the Commission notes in its <u>Order</u>, program service providers using LEC video dialtone service to deliver video programming to subscribers would be subject to the Cable Act's EEO requirements. <u>See Order</u>, 8 FCC Rcd. at 5398-99 ¶ 46. Similarly, if LEC VDT providers are allowed to provide video programming directly to subscribers in the future, they will be subject to whatever EEO provisions are in effect at that time.

¹³ MNot later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall submit to the Congress a report pursuant to a proceeding to review and obtain public comment on the effect and operation of the amendments made by this section. In conducting such review, the Commission shall consider the effectiveness of its procedures, regulations, policies, standards, and guidelines in promoting equality of employment opportunity and promotion opportunity, and particularly the effectiveness of its procedures, regulations, policies, standards, and guidelines in promoting the congressional policy favoring increased employment opportunity for women and minorities in positions of management authority." 1992 Cable Act, 106 Stat. 1500 § 22(g).

¹⁴NOI ¶ 39.

II. EXPANDING EEO REQUIREMENTS TO TELEPHONE COMMON CARRIERS WAS NEVER CONTEMPLATED BY THE CABLE ACT

In adopting the EEO provisions of the 1992 Cable Act, Congress made findings with respect to the employment of minorities and females in the cable and broadcasting industry 15 and established EEO requirements. No findings were made with respect to employment of minorities and females in the telecommunications industry, nor were telecommunications providers specifically referenced in any statutory provisions relating to EEO. While it is possible to include LEC providers of video dialtone within the Act's definition of a multichannel video program distributor ("MVPD"), 16 it is difficult to argue that Congress intended to include LECs within the scope of its EEO requirements for the cable and broadcast industries. In implementing the Cable Act's EEO provisions, the Commission focused on "control over video programming" as the primary factor in determining whether an entity was an MVPD for purposes of applying the Act's EEO provisions. 17 The Commission's adoption of the "control" test was rational, given the Cable Act's goal of favoring program diversity 18 and its failure to specifically

¹⁵See supra note 2.

¹⁶Arguably, LEC providers of video dialtone are included in the definition of what constitutes a MVPD since the statutory definition includes the language "but not limited to."

¹⁷ See supra notes 8 & 9.

¹⁸In adopting the Cable Act's EEO provisions, Congress found that "increased numbers of females and minorities in positions of management authority in the cable and broadcast television industries advances the Nation's policy favoring diversity in the expression of views in the electronic media". 1992 Cable Act, (continued...)

include telecommunications common carriers. No statutory purpose would be served by extending the Cable Act's EEO provisions to LECs providing VDT service. Clearly, if LECs are allowed to provide video programming at some time in the future and the Cable Act's EEO requirements have been upheld against any intervening legal challenge, then the LECs would become subject to the Act's EEO requirements.¹⁹

III. LEC VIDEO DIALTONE PROVIDERS ARE ALREADY SUBJECT TO A WIDE RANGE OF EEO REQUIREMENTS

U S WEST and other potential VDT providers are subject to a plethora of EEO requirements, including FCC EEO requirements. ²⁰
U S WEST is committed to complying with both the spirit and the letter of these requirements. Attachment 1 contains a summary of the EEO requirements which currently apply to U S WEST. ²¹
Extension of the Cable Act's EEO requirements to telecommunications common carriers would only increase the already significant administrative burden of EEO compliance with little or no corresponding benefit.

^{18 (...}continued)
106 Stat. 1498 § 22(a)(2), 1992 House Report at 41. See also
Order, 8 FCC Rcd. at 5398 ¶ 45. The idea that the government can
create or advance "diversity in expressions" indirectly through
hiring practices, when that same government might well be
constitutionally constrained from advancing such diversity
directly through governmental action, raises serious issues.

¹⁹If this occurs, the Commission should take whatever actions are necessary to eliminate any duplicative and overlapping reporting and compliance requirements that may be contained in its Rules.

²⁰See 47 CFR § 21.307.

²¹This summary is not meant to be all inclusive.

Congress found that minorities and women are not employed in significant numbers in managerial positions in the broadcast and cable television industries -- not in the telecommunications industry. It makes little sense to try to remedy this problem by extending what are essentially broadcast and cable television EEO requirements to telecommunications common carriers.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should not expand the Cable Act's EEO requirements to include LEC video dialtone providers.

Respectfully submitted,
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Bv:

mes T. Hannon

Suite 700

1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

(303) 672-2860

Its Attorney

Of Counsel, Laurie J. Bennett

June 13, 1994

ATTACHMENT 1

4

FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION LAWS, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND AGREEMENTS GOVERNING HUMAN RESOURCES ISSUES WITHIN U S WEST COMPANIES

Bacic Requirements	Prohibite discrimination in hiting, promotion, discharge, pay, fitnge benefite, job training, classification, referral, and other sapacits of employment on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or netional origin. Administered by EEOC.	Protects applicants and employees 40 years of age or older from electrimisation on the besis of age in hifting, promotion, discharge, compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment. Administered by EEOC.	Protects qualified applicants and employees with deabilities from decrimination in histing, promotion, decharge, pay, job training, things benefits, classification, referral, and other aspects of employment on the basis of deability. The taw slee regulates that covered entities provide qualified applicants and employees with deathillies with researcable accommodations that do not impose undue hardships. Administered jointly by EEOC and OFCCP.	Prohibits sex discrimination in payment of wages to women and men performing substantially equal work in the same establishment. Administered by EEOC.	Prohibits job discrimination because of disability and and requires affirmative action to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities who, with reasonable accommodation, can perform the essential functions of a job. Administered by OFCCP.
Annuel Reporting Forms	Standard Form 100 (EEO-1) (must be filed only by employers with 100 or more employees) 28 CFR § 1602.7	None	None	None	Standard Form 100 (EEO-1) 41 CFR § 80-741.6
Who Applies To	Private employers with 15 or more employees and others	Private employers with 20 or more employees and others	Private employers with 15 or more employees and others	Private employers (no lower limit on number of employees) and others	Employers holding federal contracts or subcontracts for \$2,500 or more. (Employers with 50 or more employees and contract of \$50,000 or more must have affirmative action program. Proposed revisions raise this to 150 or more employees and contract over \$150,000.)
췽	42 USC § 2000(e), <u>et seq.</u>	29 USC 5 621, <u>ef 360.</u>	42 USC § 12101, et seq.	29 USC § 206 (Part of Fair Labor Standards Act which begins at 29 USC § 201)	29 USC § 701,
Common Tibe	Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA)	Americans with Disabilities Act of 1980 (ADA)	Equal Pay Act of 1963	Section 503 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973

GRE 38 UBC § 4212, et seq. (See 47 USC § 151, et seq. (See 47 CFR § 21.307 and others which implement EEO goels of the FCC)

Annual Reporting FORTS	None	Periodic reports of subcontracting	RBOC Agreements Report 1 - Regional Job Group Summary (annual). Reports 2 and 3 are required only when Report 1 shows under-utilization in a job
Who Applies To	Employers holding federal contracts or subcontracts for \$2,500 or more	Employers holding federal contracts for \$10,000 or more	Multi-establishment employers with homogeneous personnel policies. (Former Bell System companies and their subsidiaries have such accounts)
8	1	ı	OFCCP Order 810e4, 12/21/82
Common Title	Executive Order 11758 of 1974	Executive Order 12138	Standard Affirmative Action Format (SAAF) and National Reporting System (NRS) (formerly National Seff- Monitoring Reporting System

Provides for maximum practicable opportunity

Act above). Administered by OFCCP. Prevents discrimination against handloapped (see Rehabilitation

Beeic Remirements

for women-owned businesses to participate

RBOC Agreements Report 1 - Regional Jacob Summary (annii Reports 2 and 3 are in only when Report 1 si under-utilization in a ji category.
Multi-establishment em- ployers with homogeneous personnel policies. (Former Bell System companies and their subsidiaries have such agreements.)

Monitoring Reporting System

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kelseau Powe, Jr., do hereby certify that on this 13th day of June, 1994, I have caused a copy of the foregoing comments to be served via hand delivery upon the persons listed on the attached service list.

Kelseau Powe, Jr.

Roy J. Stewart Federal Communications Commission Room 314 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

Hope Cooper Federal Communications Commission Room 7218 2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

International Transcription Services, Inc. Suite 140 2100 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037